Effectiveness of metacognitive thinking programme for 9th standard students of Gujarat state

Abstract:
The purpose of the study was to find out effectiveness of the metacognitive thinking programme for the students of class IX. Use standardized metacognitive thinking inventory while development and implementation of the metacognitive thinking programme during this research study.
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Introduction:
The concept of metacognition has recently become a popular area in education. Researchers and educators are deeply concerned about the type and levels of knowledge children are acquiring in schools. Metacognitive thinking is an important and vital topic in modern education. Metacognition has application for many areas of school success.

Objectives:
The study was carried out with two types of objectives,

(A) Task objectives:
1. To develop programme for enhancing Metacognitive thinking for students of class IX.
2. To implement programme for enhancing Metacognitive thinking on students of class IX.

(B) Research objectives:
1. To study the effectiveness of Metacognitive thinking programme.
2. To study the effectiveness of Metacognitive thinking Programme in relation to IQ.

Variables:
1. Independent variables
   The independent variable for the present study was Metacognitive Thinking Programme.
2. Secondary Independent Variables
   The Secondary Independent variable for the present study was IQ - (High IQ - Low IQ)
3. Dependent variables
   Metacognitive thinking score obtained by the student of class 9th on Metacognitive Thinking Inventory.
4. Control variable
   The control variable for the present study was
   - Standard – 9
   - Content Matter

Research Design:
In the present study investigator had selected One-Group Pretest-Posttest Design. Metacognitive thinking programme was given to students and Metacognitive thinking inventory were administered as a pre-test and post-test to find out effectiveness of the programme.

Table-1 Research Design

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre-test</th>
<th>Treatment(Independent Variable)</th>
<th>Post-test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metacognitive Inventory</td>
<td>Metacognitive Thinking Programme</td>
<td>Metacognitive Inventory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Score ‘P’</td>
<td>Mean Score ‘Q’</td>
<td>Treatment Effect = Q – P</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Hypotheses of the Study:

The following hypotheses were formulated in pursuance of the objectives and variables of the study.

1) There will be no significant difference between the mean scores of metacognitive thinking for pre-test and post-test.

IQ

1) There will be no significant difference between the mean scores of metacognitive thinking of students having High IQ in pre-test and post-test.
2) There will be no significant difference between the mean scores of metacognitive thinking of students having Low IQ in pre-test and post-test.

Research Method:

The main purpose of the present study was to develop Metacognitive thinking programme and study its effectiveness for the students of class IX. Present study was carried out in two important phases.

**Phase: 1 Development of metacognitive thinking Programme**

**Phase: 2 Implementation of metacognitive thinking Programme**

Population:

The investigator had decided to develop Metacognitive thinking Programme and perform the experiment with students of class IX. The population consisted of students of class IX of Gujarati medium schools of Anand district of Gujarat state. Students of class 9th A of Anand High School, Anand were selected as a sample for the implementation of Metacognitive thinking programme.

Table -2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Boys</th>
<th>Girls</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tools for Data Collection:

(A) Cognitive Activities: Cognitive activities were developed by the investigator.

(B) Metacognitive Inventory:

Metacognitive thinking inventory Constructed and standardized by the Dr. R. S. Patel. Reliability of the test was 0.75 by test retest method and 0.90 by split of method. Criterion and construct validity were 0.73 and 0.78 respectively.

(C) Metacognitive Thinking Programme:

Metacognitive thinking programme was developed by the investigator. Following components were selected for Metacognitive thinking programme:

1) **Metacognitive knowledge:** Declarative Knowledge, Procedural Knowledge, Conditional knowledge

2) **Metacognitive regulation:** Planning, Monitoring, Evaluating

(D) Intelligence Test:

Verbal Non-verbal Intelligence Test for students of standard 8 to 12 constructed and standardized by Dr. R.S Patel was used to measure intelligence of the students. Reliability of the test was 0.79 by test retest method and 0.87 by split half method. Criterion and construct validity were 0.83 and 0.87 respectively.

Method of data Collection:

Metacognitive thinking programme was implemented to find its effectiveness. For that metacognitive thinking test were administered for data collection as pre-test and post-test. Effectiveness of the metacognitive thinking programme was also found for variables like Verbal-nonverbal intelligence test constructed and standardized by Dr. R.S. Patel was used to collect data.

Analysis of Data:

Metacognitive thinking inventory developed by Dr.R.S.Patel was used as Pre-test and Post-test for finding out effectiveness of metacognitive thinking programme. Effectiveness of metacognitive
thinking programme was found with reference to certain like IQ Descriptive statistics of Pre-test and Post-test data were computed. To test the hypotheses t test was computed. Details of hypotheses testing are given below.

**Hypothesis – 1** There will be no significant difference between the mean scores of metacognitive thinking for pre-test and post-test.

**Descriptive statistics For Pre-test and Post-test data**

1. Mean score and S.D. of pre-test score are 127.77 and 15.94 respectively and that of post-test score are 150.64 and 10.43 respectively.
2. Obtained t-ratio is 8.66, which is significant at 0.01 level of confidence.

Therefore, Hypothesis – 1 “There will be no significant difference between the mean scores of metacognitive thinking for pre-test and post-test” is rejected at 0.01 level of confidence. So the alternate research hypothesis, “The mean score of metacognitive thinking for post-test would be higher than pre-test” was accepted. Thus, metacognitive thinking programme was found effective for the students of class IX.

**Hypothesis – 2** There will be no significant difference between the mean scores of metacognitive thinking of students having High IQ in pre-test and post-test.

**Descriptive statistics For Pre-test and Post-test data for High IQ Students**

1. Mean score and S.D. of Pre-test score for high IQ students are 148.43 and 4.11 respectively and that of Post-test Score for high IQ students are 169.21 and 2.20 respectively.
2. Obtained t-ratio is 16.62, which is significant at 0.01 level of confidence.

Therefore, Hypothesis – “There will be no significant difference between the mean scores of metacognitive thinking of students having High IQ in Pre-test and Post-test.” is rejected at 0.01 level of confidence. So the alternate hypothesis “The mean score of metacognitive thinking of Students having High IQ Pre-test would be higher than High IQ for Post-test” was accepted. Thus, metacognitive thinking programme was found more effective for students having high IQ.

**Hypothesis – 3** There will be no significant difference between the mean scores of metacognitive thinking of students having Low IQ in pre-test and post-test.

**Descriptive statistics For Pre-test and Post-test data for Low IQ Students**

1. Mean score and S.D. of Pre-test score for Low IQ Students are 112.57 and 13.28 respectively and that of Post-test Score for Low IQ Students are 143.21 and 5.04 respectively.
2. Obtained t-ratio is 8.06, which is significant at 0.01 level of confidence.

Therefore, Hypothesis – “There will be no significant difference between the mean scores of metacognitive thinking of students having Low IQ in pre-test and post-test” is rejected at 0.01 level of confidence. So the alternate hypothesis “The mean score of metacognitive thinking of Students having Low IQ Pre-test would be higher than Low IQ for Post-test” was accepted. Thus, metacognitive thinking programme was found more effective for Students having Low IQ.

**Conclusion:**

Based on the present study, the investigator felt the need for undertaking the following studies regarding metacognitive thinking.

1. Metacognitive Thinking Programme could be included in pre-service and in-service training programmes for teachers to develop understanding of Metacognitive Thinking.
2. Components of Metacognitive Thinking could be kept in mind while designing curriculum.
3. Assignments and Exercises could be designed promoting Metacognitive Thinking.
4. Questions assessing Metacognitive Thinking could be included in exam papers.
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