



Knowledge Consortium of Gujarat
 Department of Higher Education, Government of Gujarat
JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCES
ISSN : 2279-0241

Year-1 | Issue-4 | Continuous issue-4 | January-February 2013

Historical Perspective and Present Scenario of Indian Economy with reference to FDI

ABSTRACT ::

India is one of the oldest civilizations in the world with a kaleidoscopic variety and rich cultural heritage. A region of historic trade routes, it has been identified with its commercial wealth for much of its long history. India implemented its Constitution in 1950 that provided for a parliamentary system of Government with three independent branches: the executive, the legislature and the judiciary. The country has a federal structure with elected Governments in its 28 States and seven union territories. It is the world's largest democracy with a trillion dollar economy.

According to the Economic Survey 2011-12, the Indian economy is estimated to grow at 6.9 per cent in 2011-12 and is expected to be around 7.6 per cent in the next fiscal year. The growth has been broad based with a rebound in the agriculture sector which is expected to grow around 2.5 per cent. The Index of Industrial Production (IIP) registered a robust growth of 6.8 per cent in January 2012. Output of the manufacturing sector (which constitutes over 75 percent of the index) grew by 8.5 per cent while that of Mining sector and electricity sector increased by 2.7 per cent and 3.2 per cent respectively. Industrial production expanded by 4 per cent over April 2011-January 2012.

Introduction ::

Foreign direct investment (FDI) is direct investment into production or business in a country by a company in another country, either by buying a company in the target country or by expanding operations of an existing business in that country. Foreign direct investment is done for many reasons including to take advantage of cheaper wages or for special investment privileges such as tax exemptions offered by the country as an incentive to gain tariff-free access to the markets of the country or the region. Foreign direct investment is in contrast to portfolio investment which is a passive investment in the securities of another country such as stocks and bonds.

As a part of the national accounts of a country, and in regard to the national income equation $Y=C+I+G+(X-M)$, I is investment plus foreign investment, FDI refers to the net inflows of investment (inflow minus outflow) to acquire a lasting management interest (10 percent or more of voting stock) in an enterprise operating in an economy other than that of the investor.[1] It is the sum of equity capital, other long-term capital, and short-term capital as shown the balance of payments. It usually involves participation in management, joint-venture, transfer of technology and expertise. There are two types of FDI: inward and outward, resulting in a net FDI inflow (positive or negative) and "stock of foreign direct investment", which is the cumulative number for a given period. Direct investment excludes investment through purchase of shares.[2] FDI is one example of international factor movements.

As per the current regulatory regime, retail trading (except under single-brand product retailing – FDI up to 51 per cent, under the Government route) is prohibited in India. Simply put, for a company to be able to get foreign funding, products sold by it to the general public should only be of a 'single-brand'; this condition being in addition to a few other conditions to be adhered to. That explains why we do not have a Harrods in Delhi.

India being a signatory to World Trade Organisation's General Agreement on Trade in Services, which include wholesale and retailing services, had to open up the retail trade sector to foreign investment. There were initial reservations towards opening up of retail sector arising from fear of job losses,

procurement from international market, competition and loss of entrepreneurial opportunities. However, the government in a series of moves has opened up the retail sector slowly to Foreign Direct Investment ("FDI"). In 1997, FDI in cash and carry (wholesale) with 100 percent ownership was allowed under the Government approval route. It was brought under the automatic route in 2006. 51 percent investment in a single brand retail outlet was also permitted in 2006. FDI in Multi-Brand retailing is prohibited in India.

Definition of Retail ::

In 2004, The High Court of Delhi defined the term 'retail' as a sale for final consumption in contrast to a sale for further sale or processing (i.e. wholesale). A sale to the ultimate consumer.

Thus, retailing can be said to be the interface between the producer and the individual consumer buying for personal consumption. This excludes direct interface between the manufacturer and institutional buyers such as the government and other bulk customers. Retailing is the last link that connects the individual consumer with the manufacturing and distribution chain. A retailer is involved in the act of selling goods to the individual consumer at a margin of profit.

Division of Retail Industry – Organised and Unorganised Retailing ::

The retail industry is mainly divided into:- 1) Organised and 2) Unorganised Retailing

Organised retailing refers to trading activities undertaken by licensed retailers, that is, those who are registered for sales tax, income tax, etc. These include the corporate-backed hypermarkets and retail chains, and also the privately owned large retail businesses.

Unorganised retailing, on the other hand, refers to the traditional formats of low-cost retailing, for example, the local kirana shops, owner manned general stores, paan/beedis shops, convenience stores, hand cart and pavement vendors, etc.

The Indian retail sector is highly fragmented with 97 per cent of its business being run by the unorganized retailers. The organized retail however is at a very nascent stage. The sector is the largest source of employment after agriculture, and has deep penetration into rural India generating more than 10 per cent of India's GDP.

FDI Policy in India ::

FDI as defined in Dictionary of Economics (Graham Bannock et.al) is investment in a foreign country through the acquisition of a local company or the establishment there of an operation on a new (Greenfield) site. To put in simple words, FDI refers to capital inflows from abroad that is invested in or to enhance the production capacity of the economy.

Foreign Investment in India is governed by the FDI policy announced by the Government of India and the provision of the Foreign Exchange Management Act (FEMA) 1999. The Reserve Bank of India ('RBI') in this regard had issued a notification, which contains the Foreign Exchange Management (Transfer or issue of security by a person resident outside India) Regulations, 2000. This notification has been amended from time to time.

The Ministry of Commerce and Industry, Government of India is the nodal agency for monitoring and reviewing the FDI policy on continued basis and changes in sectoral policy/ sectoral equity cap. The FDI policy is notified through Press Notes by the Secretariat for Industrial Assistance (SIA), Department of Industrial Policy and Promotion (DIPP).

The foreign investors are free to invest in India, except few sectors/activities, where prior approval from the RBI or Foreign Investment Promotion Board ('FIPB') would be required.

Entry Options For Foreign Players prior to FDI Policy ::

Although prior to Jan 24, 2006, FDI was not authorised in retailing, most general players had been

operating in the country. Some of entrance routes used by them have been discussed in sum as below:-

1. **Franchise Agreements :** It is an easiest track to come in the Indian market. In franchising and commission agents' services, FDI (unless otherwise prohibited) is allowed with the approval of the Reserve Bank of India (RBI) under the Foreign Exchange Management Act. This is a most usual mode for entrance of quick food bondage opposite a world. Apart from quick food bondage identical to Pizza Hut, players such as Lacoste, Mango, Nike as good as Marks as good as Spencer, have entered Indian marketplace by this route.
2. **Cash And Carry Wholesale Trading :** 100% FDI is allowed in wholesale trading which involves building of a large distribution infrastructure to assist local manufacturers. The wholesaler deals only with smaller retailers and not Consumers. Metro AG of Germany was the first significant global player to enter India through this route.
3. **Strategic Licensing Agreements :** Some foreign brands give exclusive licences and distribution rights to Indian companies. Through these rights, Indian companies can either sell it through their own stores, or enter into shop-in-shop arrangements or distribute the brands to franchisees. Mango, the Spanish apparel brand has entered India through this route with an agreement with Piramyd, Mumbai, SPAR entered into a similar agreement with Radhakrishna Foodlands Pvt. Ltd
4. **Manufacturing and Wholly Owned Subsidiaries :** The foreign brands such as Nike, Reebok, Adidas, etc. that have wholly-owned subsidiaries in manufacturing are treated as Indian companies and are, therefore, allowed to do retail. These companies have been authorised to sell products to Indian consumers by franchising, internal distributors, existent Indian retailers, own outlets, etc. For instance, Nike entered through an exclusive licensing agreement with Sierra Enterprises but now has a wholly owned subsidiary, Nike India Private Limited.

FDI in Single Brand Retail ::

The Government has not categorically defined the meaning of "Single Brand" anywhere neither in any of its circulars nor any notifications.

In single-brand retail, FDI up to 51 per cent is allowed, subject to Foreign Investment Promotion Board (FIPB) approval and subject to the conditions mentioned earlier that (a) only single brand products would be sold (i.e., retail of goods of multi-brand even if produced by the same manufacturer would not be allowed), (b) products should be sold under the same brand internationally, (c) single-brand product retail would only cover products which are branded during manufacturing and (d) any addition to product categories to be sold under "single-brand" would require fresh approval from the government.

While the phrase 'single brand' has not been defined, it implies that foreign companies would be allowed to sell goods sold internationally under a 'single brand', viz., Reebok, Nokia, Adidas. Retailing of goods of multiple brands, even if such products were produced by the same manufacturer, would not be allowed.

Going a step further, we examine the concept of 'single brand' and the associated conditions:

FDI in 'Single brand' retail implies that a retail store with foreign investment can only sell one brand. For example, if Adidas were to obtain permission to retail its flagship brand in India, those retail outlets could only sell products under the Adidas brand and not the Reebok brand, for which separate permission is required. If granted permission, Adidas could sell products under the Reebok brand in separate outlets.

But, what is a 'brand'?

Brands could be classified as products and multiple products, or could be manufacturer brands and own-label brands. Assume that a company owns two leading international brands in the footwear industry – say 'A' and 'R'. If the corporate were to obtain permission to retail its brand in India with a local partner, it would need to specify which of the brands it would sell. A reading of the government release indicates that A and R would need separate approvals, separate legal entities, and may be even separate stores in which to operate in India. However, it should be noted that the retailers

would be able to sell multiple products under the same brand, e.g., a product range under brand 'A' Further, it appears that the same joint venture partners could operate various brands, but under separate legal entities.

Now, taking an example of a large departmental grocery chain, prima facie it appears that it would not be able to enter India. These chains would, typically, source products and, thereafter, brand it under their private labels. Since the regulations require the products to be branded at the manufacturing stage, this model may not work. The regulations appear to discourage own-label products and appear to be tilted heavily towards the foreign manufacturer brands.

There is ambiguity in the interpretation of the term 'single brand'. The existing policy does not clearly codify whether retailing of goods with sub-brands bunched under a major parent brand can be considered as single-brand retailing and, accordingly, eligible for 51 per cent FDI. Additionally, the question on whether co-branded goods (specifically branded as such at the time of manufacturing) would qualify as single brand retail trading remains unanswered.

FDI in Multi Brand Retail ::

The government has also not defined the term Multi Brand. FDI in Multi Brand retail implies that a retail store with a foreign investment can sell multiple brands under one roof.

In July 2010, Department of Industrial Policy and Promotion (DIPP), Ministry of Commerce circulated a discussion paper on allowing FDI in multi-brand retail. The paper doesn't suggest any upper limit on FDI in multi-brand retail. If implemented, it would open the doors for global retail giants to enter and establish their footprints on the retail landscape of India. Opening up FDI in multi-brand retail will mean that global retailers including Wal-Mart, Carrefour and Tesco can open stores offering a range of household items and grocery directly to consumers in the same way as the ubiquitous 'kirana' store.

LIMITATIONS OF THE PRESENT SETUP

Infrastructure ::

There has been a lack of investment in the logistics of the retail chain, leading to an inefficient market mechanism. Though India is the second largest producer of fruits and vegetables (about 180 million MT), it has a very limited integrated cold-chain infrastructure, with only 5386 stand-alone cold storages, having a total capacity of 23.6 million MT. , 80% of this is used only for potatoes. The chain is highly fragmented and hence, perishable horticultural commodities find it difficult to link to distant markets, including overseas markets, round the year. Storage infrastructure is necessary for carrying over the agricultural produce from production periods to the rest of the year and to prevent distress sales. Lack of adequate storage facilities cause heavy losses to farmers in terms of wastage in quality and quantity of produce in general. Though FDI is permitted in cold-chain to the extent of 100%, through the automatic route, in the absence of FDI in retailing; FDI flow to the sector has not been significant.

Intermediaries dominate the value chain ::

Intermediaries often flout mandi norms and their pricing lacks transparency. Wholesale regulated markets, governed by State APMC Acts, have developed a monopolistic and non-transparent character. According to some reports, Indian farmers realize only 1/3rd of the total price paid by the final consumer, as against 2/3rd by farmers in nations with a higher share of organized retail.

Improper Public Distribution System ("PDS") ::

There is a big question mark on the efficacy of the public procurement and PDS set-up and the bill on food subsidies is rising. In spite of such heavy subsidies, overall food based inflation has been a matter of great concern. The absence of a 'farm-to-fork' retail supply system has led to the ultimate customers paying a premium for shortages and a charge for wastages.

No Global Reach ::

The Micro Small & Medium Enterprises ("MSME") sector has also suffered due to lack of branding and lack of avenues to reach out to the vast world markets. While India has continued to provide emphasis on the development of MSME sector, the share of unorganised sector in overall manufacturing has declined from 34.5% in 1999-2000 to 30.3% in 2007-08[12]. This has largely been due to the inability of this sector to access latest technology and improve its marketing interface.

Conclusion:

A Start Has Been Made :

Walmart has a joint venture with Bharti Enterprises for cash-and-carry (wholesale) business, which runs the 'Best Price' stores. It plans to have 15 stores by March and enter new states like Andhra Pradesh , Rajasthan, Madhya Pradesh and Karnataka.

Duke, Walmart's CEO opined that FDI in retail would contain inflation by reducing wastage of farm output as 30% to 40% of the produce does not reach the end-consumer. "In India, there is an opportunity to work all the way up to farmers in the back-end chain. Part of inflation is due to the fact that produces do not reach the end-consumer," Duke said, adding, that a similar trend was noticed when organized retail became popular in the US.

Many of the foreign brands would come to India if FDI in multi brand retail is permitted which can be a blessing in disguise for the economy.

Bibliography:::

1. A.T. Kearney's (2007): Global Services Locations Index", www.atkearney.com
2. Alhijazi, Yahya Z.D (1999): "Developing Countries and Foreign Direct Investment", digitool.library.mcgill.ca.8881/dtl_publish/7/21670.htm.
3. Andersen P.S and Hainaut P. (2004): "Foreign Direct Investment and Employment in the Industrial Countries", http://www.bis/pub/work61.pdf.
4. Balasubramanyam V.N, Sapsford David (2007): "Does India need a lot more FDI", Economic and Political Weekly, pp.1549-1555.
5. Basu P., Nayak N.C, Archana (2007): "Foreign Direct Investment in India: Emerging Horizon", Indian Economic Review, Vol. XXXXII. No.2, pp. 255-266.
6. Guruswamy Mohan, Sharma Kamal, Mohanty Jeevan Prakash, Korah Thomas J. (2005), "FDI in India's Retail Sector: More Bad than Good?" Economic and Political Weekly, pp.619-623.
7. Secretariat for Industrial Assistance, SIA (2011): News letters, Annual Issue Ministry of Commerce and Industry, Government of India, New Delhi.
8. Times of India
9. Economic Times

Websites :

1. www.rbi.org.in
2. www.dipp.nic.in
3. www.legallyindia.com
4. www.icsi.edu

Dr. Kamlesh S. Dave
Associate Professor
ksdave3@yahoo.com
+91 84691 55995
Shri J. H. Bhalodia Women's College,
Rajkot